


FIG. 1

FIG. 2



-FIG. 3

```
-NODE1 (ROOT)
  -NODE2
    -NODE6
      -NODE10
      -NODE8
      -NODE11
      ...
    -NODE5
    ...
  -NODE7
  ...
-NODE3
  -NODE8
  -NODE9
  ...
-NODE4
  ...
  ...
-NODE5
  ...
```

-FIG. 4

```
-NodeList~138
  -For each active node in database, a nodeEntry~140 containing
    -nodeID~142
    -childNodeIDList~144
      -for each child node ranked under the current node, a
        childNodeEntry~146
        -nodeID of child node~148
        -for each userAction which ranks the child node under
          the current node~150
          -userActionID ~160
  -parentNodeIDList ~162
    -for each parent node under which current node is ranked, a
      parentNodeEntry~164
      -nodeID of parent node~166
      -for each userAction which ranks current node under
        that parent node~168
        -userActionID ~170
  -nodeContentID ~172
```

-FIG. 5

```
-userActionList~174
    -for each user entry, store a UserAction~175 containing
        -userActionID~176
        -lifeTime~178
            -TimeOfEntry~180
            -TimeSuperceeded~182 //null if not superceeded
            -superceedingUserActionID~184 //if this entry undoes any
                aspect of a prior entry by same user~186
        -UserID~188
        -SecretFlag~190
        -NodeID~192
        -ActionRecord~193
            or
            RankingActionRecord~194
                -ParentNodeID~195
                -UserRankUnderParentNode~196
            or
            -VoteActionRecord~197
                -VotID~198
                -Vote~199
            or
            -nodeCreationActionRecord~200
            ...
        -...
```

-FIG. 6

```
-UserList~202
    -For each User, store a userEntry~203 containing
        -UserID~204
        -publicForumName~205
        -userActionList~206
            -for each userAction by user~208
                -userActionID~210
        -userRecord~212 //including rewards and sanctions, etc.
    -...
```

-FIG. 7

-update rank ~214

-ParentNode~216

----user ranking-----218

1 +NodeH~220
2 +NodeD~220
3 +NodeG~220

----group ranking-----222

1 +NodeA~224
2 +NodeB
3 +NodeC
4 +NodeD
5 +NodeE~224
6 +NodeF
7 +NodeG
8 +NodeH
9 +NodeI
10 +NodeJ~224
11 +NodeK
12 +NodeL

...

-FIG. 8

update rank ~214

+[] ParentNode~216

1 +5 NodeA~224
2 +[] NodeB
3 +4 NodeC
4 +2 NodeD
5 +[] NodeE~224
6 +[] NodeF
7 +3 NodeG
8 +1 NodeH
9 +[] NodeI~224
10~228 +[] ~226 NodeJ

-...

FIG. 9

update rank ~214

+[] ParentNode~216
----user ranking-----~218
+1 NodeH~220
+2 NodeD
+3 NodeG
+4 NodeC~220
+5 NodeA
----group ranking-----~222
1 +5 NodeA~224
2 +1 NodeB
3 +4 NodeC
4 +2 NodeD
5 +1 NodeE~224
6 +1 NodeF
7 +3 NodeG
8 +1 NodeH
9 +1 NodeI
10 +1 NodeJ~224
...

-FIG. 10

-user1
+1 NodeH~220
+2 NodeD
+3 NodeG
+4 NodeC~220
+5 NodeA

-user2
+1 NodeD~220

-user3
+1 NodeG~220
+2 NodeA
+3 NodeC~220

-user4
+1 NodeC~220
+2 NodeA
+3 NodeH~220
+4 NodeD


-user5
+1 NodeG~220
+2 NodeA
+3 NodeD~220
+4 NodeJ

TOP 5 RANKED - FIGURE 10

-FIG. 11

from users	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Rank
-NodeA's rank =	1/5		1/2	1/2	1/2	= 1.70	3
-NodeC's rank =	1/4		1/3	1/1		= 1.58	4
-NodeD's rank =	1/2	1/1		1/4	1/3	= 2.08	2
-NodeG's rank =	1/3		1/1		1/1	= 2.33	1
-NodeH's rank =	1/1			1/3		= 1.33	5
-NodeJ's rank =					1/4	= 0.25	6


.FIG. 12



.FIG. 13

-from users	1	3	Total	Rank
-NodeA's rank =	1/5	1/2	= 0.70	3
-NodeC's rank =	1/4	1/3	= 0.58	4
-NodeD's rank =	1/2		= 0.50	5
-NodeG's rank =	1/3	1/1	= 1.33	1
-NodeH's rank =	1/1		= 1.00	2
-NodeJ's rank =			= 0.00	unranked

.FIG. 14



-FIG. 15

update rank ~214

```
+[] ParentNode1~216A
+  Node1~224
+  Node2
+  Node3
+  c~226Node4~224A
+  Node5
+  Node6
+  Node7~224
```

DECODED FROM DUMP

-FIG. 16

update rank ~214

```
+p ~226ParentNode2~216B
----user ranking-----218
+1 NodeB~220
+2 NodeD~220
----group ranking-----
+[] NodeA~224
+1 NodeB
+1 NodeC
+2 NodeD~224
+[] NodeE
...
```

FIG. 17

update rank ~214

```
+p ParentNode2~216B
    ----user ranking-----218
    +1 Node4~220
    +2 NodeB
    +3 NodeD~220
    ----group ranking-----222
    + NodeA~224
    +1 NodeB
    +2 NodeC
    +2 NodeD~224
    + NodeE
    ...
    +1 Node4~224
    ...
```

DRAFTED BY - CHEN

FIG. 18

```
home/politics/ / / Clinton/Scandals/~228
-----group=all-----
+Alleged Clinton-Related Murders~230
    +Vince Foster~232
    +~234Ron Brown~232A
    +Jim McDougal~232
    +Jerry Parks~232
    ...
```

.FIG. 19

--node control window~235

-expand	button~236
-collapse	button~238
-hide	button~240
-ChildrenToShow	list box~242
-inLines	radio button~243
-inNodes	radio button~244
-NextSetOfChildren	button~245
-LastSetOfChildren	button~246
-viewControl	button~247
-select/unselect	button~248
-vote:	list box~249
-...	
-rank	list box~251
-showRank	button~252
-ranker list	button~253
-...~256	
-wait	button~257
-ok	button~258
-cancel	button

D
E
S
E
C
R
I
P
T
I
O
N
S
-

.FIG. 20

home/politics/ / / Clinton/Scandals/~228
-----group=all-----
+Alleged Clinton Related Murders~230
 +Vince Foster~232
 +Ron Brown~232A
 +There is no evidence Ron Brown was murdered~259
 +~234There is substantial reason to believe Ron Brown may have
 been Murdered~259A
 ...~260
 +Jim McDougal~232
 +Jerry Parks~232
...

-FIG. 21

home/politics/ / / Clinton/Scandals/~228

-----group=all-----

+Alleged Clinton Related Murders~230

+Vince Foster~232

+Ron Brown~232A

+There is no evidence Ron Brown was murdered~259

+There is substantial reason to believe Ron Brown may have been
Murdered~259A

+CON: If there were any credible evidence that the
Secretary of The Treasury and thirty-two other Americans
who crashed with him had been murdered, the media would
scream about it~262

+PRO: Washington Post admits hole in Brown's skull
"resembles" bullet wound and that 3 who worked in the
forensic lab which examined his body felt further autopsy
was needed ~262A

+CON: Ron Brown's wife and children don't act as if their
husband and father was killed, so why should we~262

+...~260

+Jim McDougal~232

+Jerry Parks~232

...

-FIG. 22

home/politics/ / / Clinton/Scandals/~228

-----group=all-----

+Alleged Clinton Related Murders~230

+Vince Foster~232

+Ron Brown~232A

+There is no evidence Ron Brown was murdered~259

+There is substantial reason to believe Ron Brown may have been
Murdered~259A

+CON: If there were any credible evidence that the Secretary of The Treasury and 32 other Americans had been murdered, the media would scream about it~262

+PRO: Washington Post admits hole in Brown's skull "resembles" bullet wound and that 3 who worked in the forensic lab which examined his body felt further autopsy was needed ~262A

+Author: NotGoingToTakeItAnyMore~264

+Date: March 22, 1999~266

+ActionList~268

+alsoRankUnder home/politics/ /Media Bias/Evidence of Clinton Scandal which deserved More Attention From Media~270

+insteadRankSimNode PRO: Four Members Of U.S. Military Forensic Unit Responsible For Ron Brown's Autopsy Said He Had What Appeared To Be A Bullet Wound In His Head~272

+...~260

+AgreeVote: Group=All, Votes=347, Avg=-3 Y  N
More Vote ~274

+Body~276

+The following three separate quotes are from a January 9, 1998, article in the Washington Post, seeking to minimize the evidence that Brown had a bullet wound in his head, but does admit the following:

"The seeds of the latest conspiracy talk surrounding Brown's death were planted by Lt. Col. Steven Cogswell, a deputy medical examiner with the Armed Services Institute of Pathology, who said that a round, beveled wound found near the top of Brown's head may have been a bullet hole. Cogswell said that the pathologist who examined Brown's body ruled out the possibility of a gunshot wound too quickly and that an autopsy should have been performed to provide conclusive evidence."

...

DRAFTED BY SPIDER

-FIG. 23-

-view generation~278

-generate an updated view starting at top of current page,.Projecting each node in view and its children using view parameters inherited from any ancestor node unless contradicted by more recent view parameter set for a closer ancestor node in view's nodePath for such node~282

-FIG. 24

-ViewControl Window~284

-viewFormat	area~286
-LimitPageToNode	check box~288
-PathAtPageTop	check box~290
-ChildrenToShow	list box~242
-inLines	radio button~243
-inNodes	radio button~244
-NumOfSubLevelsToShow	list box~296
-showRank	check box~252
-nodesTypeDisplay	button~302
-internalComments	check box~304
-internalCommentFormat	button~306
Ordering	area~308
-1stOrderBy	list box~310
-2ndOrderBy	list box~312
-3rdOrderBy	list box~314
-ViewGroup	area~316
-userName	list box~318
-systemDefinedGroup	list box~320
-userDefinedGroup	list box~322
-defineNewUserGroup	button~324
-TimeFilter	area~326
-predefinedInterval	radio button~327
Interval	list box~328
-userDefinedInterval	radio button~330
-fromMM/DD/YY	field~332
-toMM/DD/YY	field~334
-chatView	area~336
-1stOrderBy	list box~338
-2ndOrderBy	list box~340
-3rdOrderBy	list box~342
-ViewChatNodesSeparately....	check box~343
-AllChatUnderDescendants	check box~344
-savedView	area~346
-saveCurrentView	button~348
-openSavedView	list box~350
-OK	button~352
-Cancel	button~354

-FIG. 25

home/politics/ / / Clinton/Scandals/Alleged Clinton Related Murders/Ron Brown/There is substantial reason to believe Ron Brown may have been Murdered/~228A
-----group=all-----

+PRO: Washington Post admits hole in Brown's skull "resembles" bullet wound and that 3 who worked in the forensic lab which examined his body felt further autopsy was needed:

The following three separate quotes are from a January 9, 1998, article in the Washington Post, seeking to minimize the evidence that Brown had a bullet wound in his head, but the article does admit the following:

"The seeds of the latest conspiracy talk surrounding Brown's death were planted by Lt. Col. Steven Cogswell, a deputy medical examiner with the Armed Services Institute of Pathology, who said that a round, beveled wound found near the top of Brown's head may have been a bullet hole. Cogswell said that the pathologist who examined Brown's body ruled out the possibility of a gunshot wound too quickly and that an autopsy should have been performed to provide conclusive evidence."

"Cogswell's position was buttressed by another armed forces pathologist, Lt. Col. David Hause, who has said that he saw Brown's corpse and said that the injury on his head appeared to be a gunshot wound. A third pathologist, Cyril H. Wecht, said after reviewing some of Brown's X-rays that an autopsy was needed to firmly conclude how he died. "The pictures they have certainly don't tell you what killed this man," Wecht said.

"Armed forces officials acknowledge that the injury on Brown's head resembles a gunshot wound. But they said further investigation made it clear that Brown was not shot and instead died of "blunt force injury." They are unsure of what caused the fracture, saying that in the chaos of a plane wreck Brown's skull could have been punctured by any of hundreds of objects.

"Justice Dept. Declines To Probe Death Of Brown; Conspiracy Tales Rage Despite Lack Of Evidence", Washington Post, Friday, January 9, 1998 ;
Page A03, by *Michael A. Fletcher verification10v+7av~794*

...

D E B R I D E M E N T S

-FIG. 26

-defineNewGroup Window~356

-groupName	text field~358
-boolean expression	text field~360
-userName	list box and insert button~362
-systemDefinedGroup	list box and insert button~364
-userDefinedGroup	list box and insert button~366
-openUserDefinedGroup	button~368
-saveUserDefinedGroup	button~370
-ok	button~371
-cancel	button

-FIG. 27

-voteGroup window~372

-274 Yes votes for

-"Private citizens should be not able to have guns"~374

-selectVoterList~376

-1stOrderBy list box~378

-2ndOrderBy list box~380

-3rdOrderBy list box~382

+list~384

+AAAAAANumber1Activist~386

+AAAAA-Fred Gonzales

+AAAAH_I_Think_So

+AAATHinker

+AHeadOfHisTime~386

+Albert The Fat

+Alice, Roberta

+ANiceGuy

+Arnold, Harry T~386

+Arrow Mind

+...

-FIG. 28

-fixedNodeType home~390[~392
 -nodeControl~234
 -briefWording~396
 -Favorites~398
 -users~400
 -groups~402
 -index~404
 -mail~406
 -...
 -help~408

 -]~392
-ranked sub-nodes~410

-FIG. 29

-nodeType Heading~412[~392
 -nodeControl~234
 -briefWording~396
 -author~414
 -dateOfEntry~416
 -actionList~418
 -chatForum~420
 -]~392
-ranked sub-nodes~410

-FIG. 30

-nodeType actionList~418[~392
 -nodeControl~234
 -briefWording~396
 -rankDistribution~422
 -suggestedWordingList~424
 -chatForum~420
 -]~392
 -ranked sub-nodes~410A such as:
 ...
 -insteadRankSimNode~425 otherNodeUnderSameParent~426
 -ifCouldWin-TradeRankWith~427 otherNodeUnderSameParent~428
 -insteadRankUnder~429 otherParentNodePath~430
 -alsoRankUnder~433 otherParentNodePath~434
 -alsoSee~435 otherNodePath ~436
 -insteadSee~437 otherNodePath~438
 -similarNode~439 otherNodePath~440
 -simVote~441 otherVote~442
 -relatedVote~443 otherVote~444
 ...
 ...

-FIG. 31

-nodeType Statement~446[
-nodeControl~234
-briefWording~396
-author~414
-dateOfEntry~416
-actionList~418
-chatForum~420
-body~448
-collaborativeEditList~449[~392
-node Control~234
-briefWording~396
-chatForum~420
]~392
-edit1[~450
-listOfEdits~~872
-author~414
-dateOfFirstEntry~416
-dateOfLastEntry~416A
-chatForum~420
]
-ranked sub-nodes~410
-edit2~450
-...
-voteList~451
-vote~452
-vote~452
...
-]
-ranked sub-nodes~410

-FIG. 32

```
-nodeType vote~452[~392
    -node Control~234
    -briefWording~396
        //wording depends of vote type
            -"agree/disagree: yes/no/un"
            -"agree/disagree: -10 to 10"
            -"like/dislike: yes/no/un"
            -"like/dislike: -10 to 10"
            -"important/unimportant: yes/no/un"
            -"important/unimportant: 0 to 10"
            -"support/oppose: yes/no/un"
            -"support/oppose: -10 to 10"
            -"worthSeeing: yes/no/un"
            -"worthSeeing: 0 to 10"
-voteInfo~454
]~392
-ranked sub-nodes~410
```

-FIG. 33

+Citizens should be able to have guns in their homes to protect themselves against criminals~446A
+VoteList~451
 +Agree/Disagree yes/no/un: Group=All; Vote=1032;; Yes 57%, No 35%, Un 8% More Vote~452A
 +Agree/Disagree -10 to 10: Group=All; Votes= 973; Avg=+3 Y
 +...


-FIG. 34

+Citizens should be able to have guns in their homes to protect themselves against criminals~446A Agree% 57Y 35N 8U~452A

-FIG. 35

+As a rule, America should not get involved internal conflicts of other countries not affecting our vital interests, even when the conflicts involve gross human rights violations or even genocide~446B

+VoteList

+Agree/Disagree -10to10: Group=All; Votes= 436; Avg=+4 Y



+Like/Dislike -10to10: Group=All: Votes=314; Avg=-3 Y



+...

-FIG. 36

+Nixon Goes To China~454

+VoteList

+WorthSeeing 0 to 10: Group=OperaFans; Votes=112: Avg 3



-...

-FIG. 37

-nodeType chatForum~420[~392

-nodeControl~234

-briefWording~396

]~392

-chatThreadStart

-FIG. 38

```
-nodeType chatThreadStart~458[~392
    -nodeControl~234
    -briefWording~396
        -author~414
        -dateOfEntry~416
        -actionList~418
        -body~448
        -groupVisibleTo~459
            //if other than undefined or group All, then limits the users
            who can see the chat thread to the identified group
    -]~392
    -chatResponses~460
```

-FIG. 39

```
-nodeType chatResponse~460[~392
    -nodeControl~234
    -briefWording~396
        -parentEntry~462
        -author~414
        -dateOfEntry~416
        -body~448
    -]~392
    -chatResponses~460
```

-FIG. 40

```
-nodeType pro~464[~392
    //same as statement—only dif. is that it's briefWording is labeled . "PRO"
    -]~392
    -ranked sub nodes~410
```

-FIG. 41

```
-nodeType con~466[~392
    -//same as statement—only dif. is that it's briefWording is labeled "CON"
    -]~392
    -ranked sub nodes~410
```

-FIG. 42

```
-nodeType URL~468[~392
    -nodeControl~234
    -briefWording~396
        -URL~470
        -author~414
        -dateOfEntry
        -actionList~418
        -chatForum~420
        -body~448
            -collaborativeEditList~449[ ~392
                -node Control~234
                -briefWording~396
                    -chatForum~420
                ]~392
                -edit1~450
                -edit2~450
                -...
            -voteList~451
                -vote~452
                -vote~452
                ...
            -]
            -ranked sub-nodes~410
```

.FIG. 43

```
-nodeType Banner~468[~392
    -nodeControl~234
    -briefWording~396
    -BannerGraphic~470
        -author~414
        -dateOfEntry~416
        -actionList~418
        -chatForum~420
        -Results~471
        -PlacementList~473[
            Placement~474[
                -nodeControl~234
                -placementDefinition~477
                    cost~~465
                    results~471
                    chatForum
                    ...
            ]
            ranked sub-nodes~410
        ]
    -]~392
    -ranked sub-nodes~410~410
```

-FIG. 44

+Presidential candidates~412
+BannerBuys:For Gore~412A
+Results For Month: \$1700 for 38K impressions bought, 1.56%
(593) click-through More~471

+~234 **Banner1** ~472
+actionList~418
+Results For Month: \$600 for 12K impressions bought,
01.78% (219) click-through More~471
+Placement List~473
+In Website1 with clickThrough to "Reaons For Voting
For Gore" To Help Buy~474
+Cost: \$50 per thousand impressions in units
of 2000--\$25 needed to buy next block~475
+Results For Month: \$300 for 6K impressions
bought, 0.94% (56)click-throughs More~471
+Pro:...
+n website2 with clickThrough to "Reasons For Voting
For Gore" To Help Buy~474
-...
-Pro:...
-Pro:...

+ **Banner2** ~472

+ **Banner3** ~472

+ **Banner4** ~472

-...

+BannerBuys: For Bush~412A
+BannerBuys:For Buchanan~412A
+...

-FIG. 45A

-Menu~476
 -back~478
 -forward~480
 -File~482
 -Import~506
 -Print~508
 -Save~510
 -...
 >Edit~484
 -Undo~512
 -Cut~514
 -Copy~516
 -Paste~518
 -PasteAsLink~520
 -SelectNode~524
 -internalSelection~526
 -multipleSelection~528
 -NewNode~530
 -createCitation/Verification~532
 -internalComments~534
 -collaborativeEdit~536
 -...
 -view~486
 -viewControl~540
 -OneMoreLevel~542
 -OneLessLevel~544
 -CollapseToLevel~546
 -AllParent~548
 -collaborativeEdit~536
 -internalComments~534
 -viewHistory~554
 -Frames~555
 -...

.FIG. 45B

-Menu (Cont.)

-...

-GoTo~488

- Parent~556
- SiblingUp~558
- SiblingDown~559
- Home~560
- Favorites~562
- Users~564
- groups~566
- Citations~570
- Index~574
- Mail~576
- help~578

-...

-Favorites~490

- addToFavorites~580
- goToFavorites~582
- viewsInFavorites~586
- locationsOutsideFavorites~588

-...

-Search~492

-WebPage~494

- ListLinksToPage~590
- ceritifiedPageCopy~592
- checkCertifiedPageCopy~594
- VerifiedWebQuote~596
- VerificationFormForPage~598

-...

-Home~498

-AboutUs~500

-Help~502

-FIG. 46

-cut node from current parent steps~614

-send cut command and selected nodePaths to server~616

-for each selected node~618

-server updates database by removing user's ranking for selected nodes under parentNode indicated in its nodePath~620

-server places selected nodePaths in clipboard associated with user session~622

-if user has previously copy-and-pasted node to another heading ~624

-change users count for alsoRankUnder in action list to a count for insteadRankUnder~626

-if cut node is in an ordinal ranking view~628

-recalculate rank ~630

-generate and download a new page if ranking would alter view~632

-FIG. 47

-copy node from current parent steps ~634

-send copy command and selected nodePaths to server~636

-server places selected nodePaths in clipboard associated with user session~638

1

-FIG. 48

- paste node under selected parent step~640
 - send paste command to server with currently selected nodePaths~642
 - server places the nodes identified by the nodePaths previously in user's clipboard at bottom of user's ranking under each of the currently selected nodes~644
 - if any copied node was previously ranked under any other node by user~646
 - if still ranked under that other heading, ~648
 - enter an alsoRankUnder entry in action list for that other node pointing to current node~650
 - else~652
 - enter an insteadRankUnder entry in action list for that other node pointing to current node~654
 - if cut node is in an ordinal ranking view~628
 - recalculate rank ~630
 - generate and download a new page if ranking would alter view~632
 - send msg to client that pasted nodes have been placed at bottom of users ranking under selected node by default~662

-FIG. 49

- pasteAsLink steps~663
 - displays pasteAsLink window, which displays~664
 - SelectLabelText area~666
 - CurrentlySelectedText radio button~668
 - URL radio button~672
 - custom radio button~674
 - customText text field~676
 - LabelPlusURLAsText check Box~678
 - ok button~684
 - cancel button
 - if clicks ok~686
 - generates link to currently selected nodePath, and internal selection within nodePath, if any, according to current user settings in window~688
 - paste link to currently selected location~690

-FIG. 50

-internalSelection steps~696

- display internalSelection window having~698
 - selectedNodePath text ~700
 - selectedText text field~702
 - selectedTextInContext text field~704
 - occurrenceNumberInContext list box~706
 - ok button~710
 - cancel button~712
- if click ok~714
 - send server the values in text fields and occurrenceNumberInContext~716
 - determine if the selectedText occurs in the node~720
 - if not~722
 - send error msg to user~724
 - if so~726
 - if user has not entered enough information to uniquely identify it~728
 - sends user message requesting more information be entered via the selectedTextInContext field, or the occurrenceNumberInContext list box~730
 - else copies selection, in the form of selected nodePath, selected text, occurrence number with node, to clipboard ~732

-FIG. 51

-NewNode steps~734

- display nodeType list box~736
- if user selects a given node type in list box~738
 - display user interface for entering that type of node having ~740
 - parentNodePath text~742
 - rankUnderParent list box~744
 - for each predefined subnode field or control~746
 - ok button~748
 - cancel button~750
 - if clicks ok~752
 - send information entered in user interface to server~754
 - server enters node, as defined, into database with ranking under parentNode~756

-FIG. 52

-createCitation/Verification steps~772

 display a citation/verification form having~774

-citationSourceOrType	list box and button~776
-quoteOrStatement	text field~778
-WhatIsBeingVarified	text field //can be left blank~780
-ok	button~782

 -if user selects a citation source~784

 -add to window a citation/verification form for selected citation source having fields for information needed for appropriate citation for source~788

 -if click ok~790

 -system enters verification with an associated verification node at cursor position in any text box user is in, if any, and in clipboard~792

-FIG. 53

-nodeType verification~794[~392

- nodeControl~234
- briefWording~396
 - Quote~796
 - Citation~798
- WhatIsToBeVerified~800
- author~414
- dateOfEntry~416
- actionList~418
- nodeID or internalLinkID~802
- chatForum~420
- agree/disagree y/n/u vote~452A
- agree/disagree -10to10 vote~452B
- VoteList~421
-]~392
- ranked sub-nodes~410

-FIG. 54

+~234 Verification~794 VoteOnVerification~804
+Quote ~796
... //not shown because of size
+Citation~798
+WASHINGTON POST Friday, January 9, 1998 ; Page A03, by
MICHAEL A. FLETCHER
+What is to be verified: ~800
+That above 3 quotes from cited source are accurate quotes
+Agree/Disagree: Group=All; Vote=10:: Yes 90%, No 10%, Un 0% More
Vote~452A
+AgreeVote: Group=All, Votes=10, YAvg=+7 Y N More
Vote~452B
+CON: quotes are taken out of context. The major point of the quoted article is that although the injury in Brown's head may have resembled a bullet wound, it clearly was not one.~466
+PRO: quotes are valid even though, as the statement using them admits, the article from which they come seeks to minimize the importance of the facts they reveal~464
+...

2002-2003 - 042021

-FIG. 55

-viewInternalComments steps ~806
-Display text view with internal comments shown~808
-If user clicks on comment link~810
-Display commentList window having ranked list of one or more comments node associated with the selected icon ~812

-FIG. 56

-text view window~822

+The reason for this bias 2t~824 was simple, I was told. If a judge denies protection against abuse to just one woman or child who is later seriously abused 2t~824 by a man, and the judge cannot prove 6~824 he or she was justified in denying such protection, both the media 9~824 and radical 1t~824 feminists 1h~824 will cause a firestorm 3t~824 of protest. On the other hand, there is no 2t~824 mechanism for bringing any significant criticism upon a judge 1t~824 for denying rights to thousands of men accused of abuse, as long as the accusations against them are not provably false 1h~824.

-commentList window~826

+con: Perhaps the reason why there is less pressure on judges to rule for men in 209A cases, is because most reasonable people understand that the risk of domestic violence to women is so much greater than the inconvenience caused by 209A orders to men~816

+author: Jane Doe~414

+dateOfEntry: Jan. 23, 2000~416

+actionList~418

+chatForum~420


+LimitedToMembersOfGroup=Women: Click intelligentForumSisters to learn about efforts to spread the word for feminism and equality in this forum~458A

+Thank you for this link, I recommend it~460

+...

-body~448

+WorthSeeing 0 to 10: Group=Women; Votes=11: Avg 9



+voteList~451

+con: yes, an order granting a 209A order can be appealed, but the appeals will almost always be overruled because the appellate court suffers also from bias described in the commented paragraph~466

+con: What do you mean by "no mechanism", a man who believes a 209A order has been improperly granted can appeal the order granting it~816

+...

-FIG. 57

```
-nodeType internalComment~816[  
    -nodeControl~234  
    -briefWording~396  
    -author~414  
    -dateOfEntry~416  
    -actionList~418  
    -chatForum~420  
    -body~448  
        -collaborativeEditList~449[ ~392  
            -node Control~234  
            -briefWording~396  
                -chatForum~420  
                ]~392  
                -edit1~450  
                -edit2~450  
                ...  
        -worthSeeing 0to10 vote~452D  
        -voteList~451  
            -vote~452  
            -vote~452  
            ...  
    -]  
    -ranked sub-nodes~410
```

-FIG. 58

- enter InternalComments steps~828
 - if display text view not already shown with internalComments~830
 - do so ~832
 - display window having~833
 - instructions for user to select a currently existing comment link or create a new one, and then select to create a new comment under that link, once selected~834
 - createNewLink button~835
 - createNewCommentUnderSelectedLink button~836
 - if click createNewLink ~837
 - allow user to indication selection of location for new link~838
 - create empty internalComment icon at selected location in text~840
 - display corresponding commentList~841
 - If user clicks on comment link~843
 - Display commentList window having ranked list of one or more comments node associated with the selected icon ~844
 - If user click createNewCommentUnderSelectedLink~845
 - open NewNode window for an internalComment node type~846
 - if user decides to enter new node~848
 - add new internal comment node to internalComment icon's associated commentList~850

-FIG. 59

-collaborativeEdit steps~852

- display collaborativeEdit window having~854
 - editedText window~856
 - undo button~858
 - alternateWordings window~860
 - alternateViewControl button~862
 - open button ~864
 - save button ~866
- if user selects a portion of text in editedText window~868
 - a ranked list of alternate wordings for selection are shown in alternateWordings window, with point corresponding to selection highlighted one way and all of text in edit including text, if different, highlighted a second way, in an amount of surrounding text determined by alternateViewControl~870
- if user selects a given edit in alternateWordings window~873
 - corresponding edit will be made in editedText window~874
- if user selects highlighted area~875
 - all of changes in it will be made to editedText window~876
- if user edits text in editedText window~882
 - indicate mark changes~884
- if user selects to save edit, it will be saved as his edit of text~886

09/20/2014

-FIG. 60

-editedText window~856

+After I was kicked~892 out of my house based on a false Section 209A abuse allegation, I interviewed at least ten divorce lawyers to represent me, including at least three *[women]female attorneys*~903. Every single one of them told me that under the current political climate in Massachusetts a finding of abuse under Section 209A would stand, based on a woman's mere unsupported allegation of abuse, unless the husband could prove he had not committed the abuse -- which was almost always impossible. As several put it, under 209A a man is "guilty until proven innocent".~894

-...

-alternateWordings window~860

+After I was ^~892forced~900 out of my home ~902based on a false Section 209A order~898

+After I was kicked~892 out of my house based on a false Section 209A abuse allegation~898A

+After I was ^forceably removed from~900 my house by the police~902based on a false Section 209A abuse allegation~898

+After I was ^forced from my house based on a false Section 209A order~898

-...

.FIG. 61

-alternateWordings window~896

+After I was kicked~892 out of my house based on a false Section 209A abuse allegation~898

+After I was [kicked out of] forceably removed from ~900 my house by the police ~902 based on a false Section 209A abuse allegation~898

+After I was [kicked forced] out of my [house] home ~902 based on a false Section 209A [abuse allegation] order~898

+After I was [kicked~892 out offorced from] ~900 my house based on a false Section 209A [abuse allegation]order~898

-...

-FIG. 62

-editedText window~890

+After I was kicked out of my house based on a false Section 209A abuse allegation, I interviewed at least ten divorce lawyers to represent me, including at least three women. Every single one of them told me that under the current political climate in Massachusetts a finding of abuse under Section 209A would stand, based on a woman's mere unsupported allegation of abuse, unless the husband could prove he had not committed the abuse -- which was almost always impossible. ~892A As several put it, under 209A a man is "guilty until proven innocent".

-...

-alternateWordings window

+After I was /kicked out offorceably removed from~902 my house by the police~902 based on a false Section 209A abuse allegation, I interviewed at least ten [divorce]~902 lawyers to represent me, including at least three women~902. Every ^~902one of them ^said~902 that ^~902in Massachusetts a finding of abuse under Section 209A would stand, based on a woman's ^~902unsupported allegation of abuse, unless the husband could prove he had not committed the abuse -- which was almost always impossible. [~892AAs several put it, under 209A a man is "guilty until proven innocent".]~898

+After I was kicked out of my house based on a false Section 209A abuse allegation, I interviewed at least ten divorce lawyers/ to represent me~902, including at least three women. Every ^~902one of them told me that under the current political climate ^~902a finding of abuse under Section 209A would stand, based on a woman's mere ^~902allegation of abuse, unless the husband could prove he ^~892Awas not guilty.~898

+After I was ^forced ~902out of my ^home~902 based on a false Section 209A ^order~902, I interviewed at least ten divorce lawyers to represent me, including at least three women. Every ^~902one of them told me that under the current political climate in Massachusetts ~902^~902abuse under Section 209A would ^be found~902, based on a woman's ^~902unsupported allegation of abuse, unless the husband could prove ^the allegation was false~902 -- which was almost always impossible, because most wives making such allegations have been instructed by their lawyers to allege abuse FIG 63 at a time when there could be no witnesses to contradict her story. ~892A As several put it, under 209A a man is "guilty until proven innocent".~898

+...

-FIG. 63

```
-fixedNodeType Favorites[ //only viewable by a user
    -nodeControl~234
    -briefWording~396
    -]
    -ranked sub-nodes~410
```

-FIG. 64

```
-fixedNodeType Users~1086[
    -nodeControl~234
    -briefWording~396
    -]
    -user nodes~1087
```

-FIG. 65

```
-nodeType user~1087[
    -nodeControl~234
    -userName~1090
        -actual name~1092
        -actual address~1094
        -email address~1096
        -groupMembershiplist~1098
        -UserEntryList1100
        -Rewards1102
        -Sanctions1104
    -]
    -ranked sub-nodes~410
```

-FIG. 66

```
-fixedNodeType Groups~1106[
    -nodeControl~234
    -briefWording~396
    -]
    -groups~1108
```

-FIG. 67

```
-nodeType Group~1108[  
  -nodeControl~234  
  -groupName~396  
    membershipDefinition~1110  
    membershipList~1111  
    chatForum~420  
  ]  
  ranked sub-nodes~410
```

-FIG. 68

```
-index function~1068  
  -displays index window having~1070  
    -seeSelection'sEntries button~1072  
    -enterSelectionUnderHeading button~1073  
    -indexViewControl button~1074  
      //allows user to control view, including filtering number of  
      heading levels to show, and number of headings to filter out  
      based on activity beneath them  
    -indexHeadingSearch text field with go button~1075  
    -indexViewWindow window ~1076
```

-FIG. 69

```
indexViewWindow~1076  
  +indexHeading1~1080  
  +indexHeading2  
  +~234indexHeading3~1080  
    +indexHeading5  
    +indexHeading6~1080A  
      +nodePath+Selection1~1082  
      +nodePath+Selection2  
      +nodePath+Selection3~1082  
      +...  
    +indexHeading7~1080  
    +...  
  +indexHeading4~1080  
  ...
```

-FIG. 70

```
-fixedNodeType index~1078[  
    -nodeControl~234  
    -briefWording~396  
    -]  
    -indexHeading sub-nodes ~1080
```

-FIG. 71

```
-nodeType indexHeading~1080[  
    -nodeControl~234  
    -briefWording~396  
    -author~414  
    -dateOfEntry~416  
    -actionList~418  
    -chatForum~420  
    -]  
    -indexHeadings~1080  
    -indexEntries
```

SEARCHED = 00000000000000000000000000000000

-FIG. 72

```
-nodeType indexEntry~1082[  
    -nodeControl~234  
    -briefWording~396  
    -author~414  
    -dateOfEntry~416  
    -nodePath+Selection~1084  
    -actionList~418  
    -chatForum~420  
    -]  
    -ranked sub-nodes~410
```

-FIG. 73

-Mail steps~918

- Display a mailWindow showing~920
 - mailTree ~922 having
 - inbox node~924 having
 - message nodes~926 having
 - title/subject~928
 - senderName~930
 - addressee~932
 - worthreadingVote~934
 - body~936
 - outbox node~938 having
 - list of sent message nodes~940
 -
 - newMsg button~948
 - reply button~950
 - replyToGroup button~952
 - if user click on newMsg, reply, or replyToGroup button~954
 - display a window with~956
 - userName list box ~958
 - systemDefinedGroup list box ~960
 - userDefinedGroup list box ~962
 - defineNewGroup button~964
 - title/subject field~966
 - addressee field~968
 - cc field~970
 - body field~972
 - send button~974
 -

-FIG. 74

-search steps~1028

- displays searchControl window with~1030
 - searchText field~1032
 - TimeFilter area~1326
 - predefinedInterval radio button~1327
 - Interval list box~328
 - userDefinedInterval radio button~1330
 - fromMM/DD/YY field~1332
 - toMM/DD/YY field~1334
- Author list box~1040
- SearchUnderSelectedNode check box~1042
- LimitSearchTo check box~1044
 - Heading check box~1046
 - Statement check box~1048
 - Pro check box~1050
 - Con check box~1052
 - Comment check box~1054
 - citation check box~1056
 - verification check box~1058
 - ...
- find button~1059
- searcResults list box~1061
- next button~1062
- cancel button~1066

-FIG. 75

- certifiedPageCopy steps~976
 - display window having~975
 - URLOfPage field ~976
 - DownLoadCertifiedCopyOfPage button~977
 - if click DownLoadCertifiedCopyOfPage button~978
 - send copy of command with URL to server~979
 - server downloads page identified by URL~980
 - server generates a signature for page~981
 - server downloads to client a copy page with signature, including URL, user, and date ~982

-FIG. 76

- checkCertifiedPageCopy steps~986
 - display a window enabling user to upload to server copy of a previously certified page copy~988
 - server checks page's certification~990
 - if copy is not valid~992
 - send msg stating so~994
 - else~996
 - send msg stating copy is valid and giving the original URL, download date and username~998

-FIG. 77

-VerifiedWebQuote steps~1000

-Display window having ~1002

-URLOfPage

-quoteText

-quoteInContext

-occurrenceNumberInContext

-ok

field ~1004

field~1006

field~1008

list box~1010

button~1012

-if click ok~1014

-command, and information from window's controls are uploaded~1016

-server obtains copy of page over net~1018

-server verifies if quote occurs in page, and if it is uniquely defined~1020

-if quote is uniquely identified in page~1022

-server automatically generates a citation/verification for the quote~1023

-server records verification in its database~1024

-server copies verification to user's clipboard on server~1025